



STATE OF RHODE ISLAND

JUDICIAL PURCHASING OFFICE
670 NEW LONDON AVENUE
CRANSTON, RHODE ISLAND 02920
TEL: 401-275-6527 FAX:401-275-6530

B2026001 ADDENDUM #1

Website Migration

Date of Addendum: 5/15/2026

NOTICE TO ALL POTENTIAL RESPONDENTS

This addendum is issued to modify the previously issued bid documents and/or given for informational purposes, and is hereby made a part of the bid documents. The original RFP documents and any previously issued addenda remain in full force and effect, except as modified by this Addendum, which is hereby made part of the RFP. Respondent shall take this Addendum into consideration when preparing and submitting its Proposal.

The bid opening is postponed to June 4, 2026 at 10:00a.m.

1. Could you provide an estimated inventory of the current website and intranet, including the number of pages, documents, and any existing metadata structures?

A: Pages:

Public site: Pages - 100+, Documents – 13,500+;

Intranet: Pages – 35+, Documents – 500+;

2. Are there specific custom workflows, web parts, or features within the current SharePoint Server 2019 environment that must be replicated or enhanced in the new system?

A: Yes. Everything on the current website and Intranet are expected to be migrated to the new sites.

3. Are there defined taxonomy structures or content categorization requirements that should be maintained or improved? Additionally, should the new platform support advanced search features such as filtering by court, document type, or date, including full-text search of PDFs?

A: Yes. We have contents like Forms, Opinions, Decisions etc. that are categorized by Courts etc. the Search functions also work with filters and include full-text search of PDFs. The sort order of the search results is expected to list the items found in document names first and the items found in text search last.



STATE OF RHODE ISLAND

JUDICIAL PURCHASING OFFICE
670 NEW LONDON AVENUE
CRANSTON, RHODE ISLAND 02920
TEL: 401-275-6527 FAX:401-275-6530

4. How many internal users are expected to manage content, and are there specific role-based permissions or approval workflows required for publishing?

A: We currently have 4 internal users who manage content. There is a role for publishing content on the website.

5. Will the Judiciary provide hosting and infrastructure for the new platform, or should vendors include recommended hosting solutions as part of their proposal?

A: Yes, the Judiciary will provide hosting. Not interested in external or cloud hosting at this time

6. Could you provide additional details regarding the current intranet's functionality and any requirements for authentication, access control, or internal collaboration features in the new system?

A: There is no authentication required on the Intranet site. It is available only to users within the network that are authenticated through Active Directory.

7. Are there specific tools, reporting formats, or third-party validation processes required to demonstrate compliance with ADA and WCAG 2.2 standards?

A: At this time, we are using Adobe Acrobat Pro, but we are working with the National Center for State Courts to establish a formal tool that will be used by all State Courts.

8. Are international vendors eligible to participate and submit proposals for this RFP?

A: We have no policy to exclude international vendors.

9. Is there any flexibility in the proposal submission method (e.g., electronic submission), or is physical submission strictly required?

A: Our Purchasing rules require that Proposals must be mailed or hand-delivered in a sealed envelope marked with RFP/LOI# and Project name to: Rhode Island Traffic Tribunal Judicial Purchasing, Room 1006 670 New London Avenue, Cranston RI, 02920

10. Can vendors propose alternative CMS platforms other than WordPress/SharePoint, provided they meet the functional and maintenance requirements?



STATE OF RHODE ISLAND

JUDICIAL PURCHASING OFFICE
670 NEW LONDON AVENUE
CRANSTON, RHODE ISLAND 02920
TEL: 401-275-6527 FAX:401-275-6530

A: No. We are looking to migrate to either WordPress or Drupal.

11. Are there any existing analytics, search, or third-party integrations currently in use that need to be retained during migration?

A: All existing items within the current sites need to be migrated.

12. Microsoft Forefront Threat Management Gateway is out of date as of April 2020, and this would be incompatible with WordPress. Are you open to other options?

A: No.

13. How can we review the intranet without access?

A: The intranet is internal to Judiciary employees. It has been presented during the demo on our conference call. The features are similar to the current website.

14. Are you willing to migrate from SharePoint completely by using WordPress document management? If not, are you willing to use SharePoint 365?

A: Yes. We are looking to migrate from SharePoint completely. We do not want to use SharePoint 365

15. Is there a not-to-exceed budget?

A: No amount has been set at this time.

16. Is there an MBE/WBE goal for this RFP?

A: No, there is not a goal.

17. Can you say again where the employee directory data is syncing/pulling from on the intranet?

A: The network Active Directory

18. Please explain the editing workflow. Can all "user" directly publish or is there an approval process?



STATE OF RHODE ISLAND

JUDICIAL PURCHASING OFFICE
670 NEW LONDON AVENUE
CRANSTON, RHODE ISLAND 02920
TEL: 401-275-6527 FAX:401-275-6530

A: No. Only a select group of developers have the access to publish materials – documents or text approved by the Courts.

19. Is the Bid bond or performance bond required for this RFP?

A: Neither are required for this solicitation.

20. Should the intranet remain a separate environment or be consolidated under the same WordPress instance?

A: We would like to have the public and intranet sites on separate instances.

21. Are you currently hosting on-prem?

A: Yes

22. What is the expectation for hosting when you move to the new platform (cloud?)?

A: We plan and expect to continue hosting on-prem.

23. What are your current on-prem servers?

A: Windows Server 2022

24. Will they support Drupal or WP on-prem?

A: We expect to build new Servers for the new sites

25. Do you have the technical knowledge to support the new platform and servers, or should the ongoing support include that?

A: We expect to support the site at some level and will likely require ongoing support for non-routine tasks.

26. Do you have a formal site map or representation of all available pages?

A: No.

27. Q: Approximate page count?

Public site: Pages - 100+, Documents – 13,500+;

Intranet: Pages – 35+, Documents – 500+;

28. Is there any technical documentation for the current site, database, security, etc?

A: No



STATE OF RHODE ISLAND

JUDICIAL PURCHASING OFFICE
670 NEW LONDON AVENUE
CRANSTON, RHODE ISLAND 02920
TEL: 401-275-6527 FAX:401-275-6530

29. Have you found similar websites that have designs that you prefer or enjoy?

A: No. We are not looking to change design or features. We would like to replicate the existing site "as is".

30. In that the sites are to be ADA WCAG 2.1 compliant, are you considering current document compliance (PDF, PPT, etc.):

A: No. We are working on the PDF and PPT compliance internally.

31. Q: Do you intend for the contractor to remediate current documents for compliance as well?

A: No

32. Q: If so, can you estimate document count and average page per document?

A: N/A

33. Q: How often are documents updated / uploaded?

A: Daily. About 4 – 12 per day

34. Do you require document remediation support after migration?

A: No.

35. Are you willing to migrate from SharePoint completely by using WordPress document management?

A: Yes. We are looking to migrate from SharePoint completely. We do not want to use SharePoint 365

36. If not, are you willing to use SharePoint 365?

A: N/A

37. Is there a not to exceed budget?

A: No amount has been set at this time.

38. The RFP states a May 21 submission deadline, is that accurate or is what you stated June 12 the deadline?

A: Submission deadline is May 21st.

39. Will proposals presenting alternative CMS options be considered or only Drupal/WordPress platforms?



STATE OF RHODE ISLAND

JUDICIAL PURCHASING OFFICE
670 NEW LONDON AVENUE
CRANSTON, RHODE ISLAND 02920
TEL: 401-275-6527 FAX:401-275-6530

A: No. We are only interested in Drupal or WordPress.

40. You showed an Intranet Calendar link to a PDF doc. Would you want to build an on-screen dynamic calendar you can update through the CMS?

A: No. We prefer to keep the calendar in PDF at this time.

41. During the pre-bidder's conference, I asked: "Are you willing to migrate from SharePoint completely by using WordPress document management? If not, are you willing to use SharePoint 365?" I was told the Judiciary is looking to migrate away from SharePoint completely. However, the RFP references SharePoint throughout, including the requirement that the selected Vendor have a qualified Microsoft SharePoint Team. Can you confirm that the Judiciary would be open to fully migrating off SharePoint?

A: We are looking to migrate from SharePoint completely.

42. Can the Judiciary provide a detailed inventory of the current SharePoint customizations, including custom workflows, web parts, integrations, scripts, forms, and third-party components currently in use within both the public website and intranet environment?

Additionally, could the Judiciary clarify which of these customizations are considered mandatory for migration or replication within the new platform?

A: All of the features on the current sites need to be migrated to the new sites.

43. Could the Judiciary please specify the languages that must be supported as part of the multilingual resources requirement, and clarify whether multilingual content translation services are expected to be provided by the selected Vendor or by the Judiciary?

A: No. Multilingual content translation is not expected to be provided.

44. Could the Judiciary please clarify the expected level of editorial workflow functionality required within the new platform, including content approval hierarchies, publishing permissions, delegated content management roles, and audit/version tracking requirements?



STATE OF RHODE ISLAND

JUDICIAL PURCHASING OFFICE
670 NEW LONDON AVENUE
CRANSTON, RHODE ISLAND 02920
TEL: 401-275-6527 FAX:401-275-6530

A: The sites will need a role that will allow content management for html pages and publishing of pdf documents.

45. The RFP references both WordPress 2025 6.9+ and continued SharePoint usage preferences. Could the Judiciary please clarify whether a fully WordPress-based solution for both the public website and intranet would be considered acceptable, provided that all functional, security, accessibility, and content management requirements are met?

A: Yes. We are looking to migrate away from SharePoint completely.

46. Could the Judiciary please confirm whether the selected Vendor will be provided access to existing website analytics, search metrics, traffic reports, user behaviour insights, or audit findings to support information architecture planning, SEO preservation, and user experience improvements during migration and redesign?

A: Yes.

47. Could the Judiciary please clarify whether there are any preferred hosting environments, cloud restrictions, infrastructure standards, or data residency/security requirements that must be followed for the proposed solution?

A: We expect to continue hosting on-prem.

48. Could the Judiciary please clarify whether the maintenance, monitoring, and support services identified under post-migration support are expected to be included within the initial implementation pricing, or proposed separately as optional recurring services?

A: Pricing for post-migration support is expected to be included in the proposal but listed separately.

49. Could the Judiciary please clarify whether there are any page limitations, formatting requirements, font requirements, tab/divider requirements, or file size limitations applicable to the technical proposal submission?

A: All formatting, fonts, designs, and features need to be migrated over to the new sites.



STATE OF RHODE ISLAND

JUDICIAL PURCHASING OFFICE
670 NEW LONDON AVENUE
CRANSTON, RHODE ISLAND 02920
TEL: 401-275-6527 FAX:401-275-6530

50. Could the Judiciary please confirm whether resumes for proposed project personnel are mandatory submission requirements, and if so, whether there is a preferred resume format or maximum length per resume?

A: Not mandatory but will be helpful in evaluation.

51. Could the Judiciary please confirm whether accessibility validation and compliance testing will be performed solely by the selected Vendor, jointly with the Judiciary, or through a third-party accessibility assessment process?

A: It will be performed jointly by the Vendor and the Judiciary.

52. Could the Judiciary please clarify whether references may include commercial-sector clients and non-government organizations, or if references are expected to be limited to government/public-sector entities only? Additionally, could the Judiciary confirm whether experience across industries such as education, judicial, legal, healthcare, finance, or other enterprise environments would be considered relevant for evaluation purposes?

A: Yes. All experiences will be considered relevant.

53. Could the Judiciary please clarify the required proposal packaging and submission structure, specifically whether the Bid Form, Technical Proposal, and Pricing Proposal are each required to be submitted in separate sealed envelopes? Additionally, could the Judiciary confirm whether four (4) copies are required for both the Technical Proposal and Bid Form, or only for the Technical Proposal, and whether only a single copy of the Pricing Proposal is required as stated in the RFP?

A: All Bid and Proposal materials can be included in the same envelope and must be mailed or hand-delivered in a sealed envelope marked with RFP/LOI# and Project name to: Rhode Island Traffic Tribunal Judicial Purchasing, Room 1006 670 New London Avenue, Cranston RI, 02920

54. 1. Section 2B references both WordPress 6.9+ and SharePoint Server 2019 as target platforms, sometimes within the same requirement. Before preparing a proposal, vendors need clarity on the intended architecture. Specifically:



STATE OF RHODE ISLAND

JUDICIAL PURCHASING OFFICE
670 NEW LONDON AVENUE
CRANSTON, RHODE ISLAND 02920
TEL: 401-275-6527 FAX:401-275-6530

a. Is the expectation that both the public website and intranet will be fully migrated to WordPress, replacing SharePoint entirely?

A: Yes

b. Is continued use of SharePoint required or preferred for the intranet, with WordPress serving only the public-facing site?

A: No

c. Would the AOSC consider a hybrid architecture where WordPress delivers the public website and intranet, while SharePoint is retained as the document management and storage layer with court opinions, orders, decisions, and other records remaining in SharePoint but surfaced on WordPress pages via integration with the Microsoft Graph API? This approach would preserve existing SharePoint document workflows and staff familiarity while modernizing the public-facing experience.

A: We are looking to migrate from SharePoint completely.

55. Section 2B states that hardware, software, and other licenses are the AOSC's responsibility, but the post-migration maintenance scope references ongoing support for "the physical server(s) on which the software runs." To prepare an accurate proposal, vendors need clarity on the hosting environment and infrastructure boundaries. Specifically:

a. Can the AOSC describe the current and intended hosting environment are servers on-premises, co-located, or cloud-hosted? **A: On-premises**

b. What is the intended boundary between vendor responsibilities and internal AOSC IT responsibilities at the infrastructure level? **A: The infrastructure will be the sole responsibility of the Judiciary – AOSC IT**

c. If WordPress is selected as the platform for the public site and/or intranet, would the AOSC consider Linux-based hosting? WordPress performs optimally on a Linux/Apache or Linux/Nginx stack, and most managed WordPress hosting infrastructure is Linux-based. If the AOSC requires Windows Server to be retained regardless of platform choice, vendors should know this in advance as it affects both architecture recommendations and ongoing maintenance scope.

A: No.

56. Vendors are advised to review the current site and enumerate its pages as part of scoping. Can the AOSC provide a content inventory or page count for both the public website and intranet to assist vendors in preparing accurate proposals? In the absence of a provided inventory, will discrepancies discovered post-award be treated as change-order scope?



STATE OF RHODE ISLAND

JUDICIAL PURCHASING OFFICE
670 NEW LONDON AVENUE
CRANSTON, RHODE ISLAND 02920
TEL: 401-275-6527 FAX:401-275-6530

A: Pages:

Public site: Pages - 100+, Documents – 13,500+;

Intranet: Pages – 35+, Documents – 500+;

57. Section 2B requires the selected vendor to analyze and migrate "all current customizations" from the existing SharePoint environment. Can the AOSC provide documentation or a summary of known customizations, third-party integrations, and any connections to case management or other internal systems that are currently in use on either the public site or intranet?

A: No. The public site is available for review and needs to be replicated "as is".

58. The scope includes a fillable Judicial Records Center Archives Request form that "transmits directly to the Judicial Records Center for processing." To properly estimate scope, can the AOSC describe the receiving system or process on the Records Center side? Specifically, is this transmission via email, a system API, or another mechanism?

A: Users download and complete forms, then transmit it via email or submit it printed.

59. The scope references searchable court opinions, orders, and decisions. Can the AOSC provide an approximate document count and clarify whether existing PDFs are already text-searchable, or whether OCR processing of legacy documents is expected to be part of the vendor's scope?

A: There are approximately 13,500+ documents on the current site that need to be migrated to the new site(s). The existing PDFs are already text-searchable

60. Section 2B states a preference for "as little custom coding as possible" to allow Judiciary staff to maintain the site. Several of the specific UX requirements, including the multilingual interpreter dropdown with language indicators, the "How Do I?" section ordered by question frequency, and the legal resources directory will require custom development beyond standard WordPress functionality. How does the AOSC want vendors to address this tension in their proposals, and is there a defined threshold for what constitutes acceptable customization?



STATE OF RHODE ISLAND

JUDICIAL PURCHASING OFFICE
670 NEW LONDON AVENUE
CRANSTON, RHODE ISLAND 02920
TEL: 401-275-6527 FAX:401-275-6530

A: The required threshold is that the new sites MUST work / function like the current site.

61. Section 2D describes an acceptance test plan to be developed jointly after award. Can the AOSC identify who holds final technical approval authority for milestone sign-off, and whether that individual or role will be involved or otherwise available for vendor questions during the proposal period?

A: The Director of IT at the RI Judicial Technology Center. The individual will be available for vendor questions.

62. Section 2E requires that the selected vendor have "a qualified Microsoft SharePoint Team." If the AOSC's answer to Question 1 confirms that a pure WordPress solution is acceptable for both the public site and intranet, should vendors interpret this requirement as satisfied by equivalent WordPress architecture and implementation expertise combined with SharePoint experience?

A: Yes.

63. Can the AOSC confirm whether on-site presence at Rhode Island court facilities will be required at any point during the project, or whether the engagement may be delivered fully remotely?

A: The engagement will be delivered fully remotely.

64. The BCI authorization requirement in Section 5 and Appendix A appears to apply broadly to all vendor personnel — can the AOSC clarify whether this applies only to staff who will physically enter courthouse locations?

A: This requirement applies only to staff who will physically enter courthouse locations.

65. Approximate volume of PDF documents (Opinions, Orders, Decisions, forms) to be indexed for search? Annual growth rate?

A: There are approximately 13,500+ documents on the current site that need to be migrated to the new site(s).



STATE OF RHODE ISLAND

JUDICIAL PURCHASING OFFICE
670 NEW LONDON AVENUE
CRANSTON, RHODE ISLAND 02920
TEL: 401-275-6527 FAX:401-275-6530

66. We understand that Rhode Island Judiciary is planning to handle WCAG remediation of PDFs in-house. Mapping the remediated PDFs to the right pages on the new site will remain in scope for the purposes of this RFP.

- a. We need a count of how many PDFs are embedded on pages and how many PDFs are sitting in document libraries.

A: The majority of the PDFs exist in document libraries. There are approximately 13,500+ on the public site and 500+ on the Intranet that need to be migrated over.

67. How robust must search be — keyword + filters only, or semantic / AI-powered search across the document corpus?

A: Search functionality from current sites needs to be migrated to new sites.

68. Is an AI chatbot or "ask a question" assistant of interest for SRL guidance? Are there constraints on use of LLM-based features for public-facing legal content?

A: No.

69. Is AI-assisted content authoring (summaries, plain-language translations of opinions, accessibility checks) of interest?

A: No.

70. Is integration with Tyler (case management, public portal) in scope for this RFP, or will there only be links to Tyler on the site?

A: No integration with Tyler CMS needed.

71. Form submissions: Would you like to move forms online with workflows and notifications or keep them as downloadable PDFs.

- i. If moving online with workflows, how many PDF forms would need to be converted to online forms w/ workflows?

A: We would like to keep the Forms as is.

72. Subcontractor clarification:

- a. §2E states "Vendor's own employees only", but page 2 allows disclosed subcontractors. Which governs? **A: Vendor's own employees only**



STATE OF RHODE ISLAND

JUDICIAL PURCHASING OFFICE
670 NEW LONDON AVENUE
CRANSTON, RHODE ISLAND 02920
TEL: 401-275-6527 FAX:401-275-6530

- b. Are named subs (e.g., specialty AI/search firms, or partner consultancies) acceptable?

A: Yes. We require any named subs to be disclosed.

73. When will answers from this conference and pre-filed questions be posted as an addendum?

A: Shortly after the questions submission period is closed.

74. It was mentioned that you would like to host On-Prem.

a. Would you consider cloud hosting if that was the best approach? **A: No**

b. Will RIJ manage the servers, OS patching and backups post-launch?

A: Yes.

75. Multiple Languages: Is the expectation that English and Spanish will be the two languages (with ability to expand) for this effort? Or are there more languages that will be part of this phase?

A: There are other languages. All languages need to be migrated over to the new sites.

76. Section 2.0(E) states the Vendor "may only use the Vendor's own employees (those under the direct contract with Vendor)." Does this preclude the use of offshore team members who are direct employees or full-time contractors of the Vendor? Or is the intent to exclude only independent subcontractors not under the Vendor's direct management?

A: Yes. The vendor can only use employees in direct contract.

77. If offshore resources are permitted, are there any data residency or security restrictions that would require all development, staging, and production work to be performed within the United States?

A: Offshore resources are not permitted.

78. Given the BCI background check requirements in Section 5.0, would offshore employees who will not physically access court facilities or handle sensitive case data still be required to undergo Rhode Island BCI clearance?

A: No. Only resources physically accessing Court facilities will be required to have a BCI clearance.

79. Section 25 of the General Terms references MBE provisions under R.I.G.L. Title 37, Chapter 14.1. Does the AOSC intend to apply MBE, WBE, or DBE preference or additional consideration in the evaluation of proposals for this RFP? If so, what documentation is required at the time of submission?

A: No. MBE/WBE preference will not be applied.



STATE OF RHODE ISLAND

JUDICIAL PURCHASING OFFICE
670 NEW LONDON AVENUE
CRANSTON, RHODE ISLAND 02920
TEL: 401-275-6527 FAX:401-275-6530

80. Will proposals from firms holding MBE, WBE, or DBE certifications — or proposals that include certified MBE/WBE subcontractors — receive any scoring advantage or preference beyond what is outlined in the General Terms?

A: No.

81. If a prime contractor partners with a certified MBE or WBE subcontractor for a defined portion of work (e.g., accessibility auditing, content migration, or UX design), would this be viewed favorably in the evaluation, and is there a target participation percentage?

A: No.

82. Can the AOSC provide an approximate page count for both the public website (courts.ri.gov) and the intranet, including PDFs, downloadable forms, and embedded documents?

A: Pages:

Public site: Pages - 100+, Documents – 13,500+;

Intranet: Pages – 35+, Documents – 500+;

83. Are there any dynamic or database-driven components on the current SharePoint site (e.g., case search, calendar integrations, data feeds from case management systems) that must be replicated, or is the migration primarily static content and documents?

A: All features must be migrated over to the new sites.

84. Does the intranet contain any integrations with internal systems (e.g., HR, case management, document management) that will need to be maintained or rebuilt in the new platform?

A: All features within the Intranet need to be migrated over to the new sites.

85. Will the AOSC provide access to the current SharePoint environment (including the staging server) for content audit and migration planning prior to project commencement?

A: Yes.

86. Does the AOSC intend to host the new WordPress/Drupal site on-premises using existing Judiciary infrastructure, or is cloud hosting (e.g., AWS GovCloud, Azure Government, Pantheon, WP Engine) acceptable?



STATE OF RHODE ISLAND

JUDICIAL PURCHASING OFFICE
670 NEW LONDON AVENUE
CRANSTON, RHODE ISLAND 02920
TEL: 401-275-6527 FAX:401-275-6530

A: Yes. We expect to continue hosting on-prem.

87. If on-premises hosting is required, what server environment and specifications will be available? Will the Vendor have direct server access, or will changes need to go through Judiciary IT?

A: Judiciary IT will provide necessary server environments (Test and Prod), and vendor will have access to the servers.

88. The RFP references "highly available infrastructure." What are the AOSC's uptime requirements (e.g., 99.9%, 99.99%), and is there an expectation for a formal SLA?

A: 99.99% uptime. Yes. There will be an SLA in place.

89. The RFP references both WCAG 2.2 (ADA Title II) and W3C Priority 1 Checkpoints (WCAG 1.0). Since WCAG 2.2 AA is the current standard, should vendors target WCAG 2.2 Level AA as the primary compliance benchmark?

A: Yes. WCAG 2.2 AA

90. Regarding "Multilingual Resources," which languages should be supported beyond English? Is the expectation for full site translation, or integration with a machine translation service (e.g., Google Translate widget) for select content areas?

A: No. Multilingual Resources is not required.

91. Has the AOSC identified specific languages most commonly needed by Rhode Island court users (e.g., Spanish, Portuguese, Haitian Creole)?

A: Not required.

92. The RFP references a recent audit by the National Center for State Courts. Can the full audit report or a summary of findings be shared with bidders to inform the design approach?

A: No.

93. Beyond the specific features listed (ADA link, "Your Day in Court," Interpreters, "How Do I?"), does the AOSC envision interactive tools for SRL - such as guided interview forms, court date lookup, or document assembly - as part of this project's scope or a future phase?

A: No.



STATE OF RHODE ISLAND

JUDICIAL PURCHASING OFFICE
670 NEW LONDON AVENUE
CRANSTON, RHODE ISLAND 02920
TEL: 401-275-6527 FAX:401-275-6530

94. The RFP calls for agile development with a 6-month maximum timeline. Does the AOSC have a preference for sprint cadence (e.g., 2-week sprints), and how many AOSC stakeholders will be available for regular sprint reviews and content approval?

A: Yes. Two (2) week sprints / check-ins will be ideal. AOSC will make available all the resources needed for the reviews and content approval

95. Is a phased go-live acceptable - for example, launching the public website first and the intranet migration second - or must both be delivered simultaneously within the 6-month window?

A: Yes. They can be delivered one after the other.

96. Can the AOSC clarify expectations for "automated content migration"? Is there flexibility to use a hybrid approach (automated migration of structured content with manual QA and cleanup for complex pages)?

A: Not opposed to automated migration. The resultant site must match the current one.

97. What is the expected duration and budget structure for the post-migration maintenance and support engagement? Is this envisioned as a monthly retainer, a block-hours arrangement, or a separate annual contract?

A: This will likely be a block-hours arrangement.

98. For the ongoing support period, does the AOSC expect 24/7 monitoring and response, or are the SLA response times referenced in Section 2.0(B)(2) limited to normal business hours?

A: 24/7 monitoring.

99. The evaluation weights 30% on Vendor Qualifications and 30% on Project Scope Requirements. Can the AOSC clarify what distinguishes these two categories — specifically, does "Project Scope Requirements" refer to the vendor's proposed technical approach, or to the vendor's understanding of the scope?

A: "Project Scope Requirements" refers to the client's defined scope — the goals, deliverables, constraints, acceptance criteria and functional/non-functional requirements the project must satisfy. It answers "what" needs to be done.

100. Will the AOSC consider relevant experience with other state or municipal court website projects as equivalent to direct WordPress or Drupal experience, particularly if the vendor has migrated to similar SharePoint-based government sites?



STATE OF RHODE ISLAND

JUDICIAL PURCHASING OFFICE
670 NEW LONDON AVENUE
CRANSTON, RHODE ISLAND 02920
TEL: 401-275-6527 FAX:401-275-6530

A: No.

101. The RFP states that the AOSC reserves the right to negotiate directly with any vendor. Is there an anticipated timeline for evaluation, shortlisting, and contract award following the May 21 submission deadline?

A: There is not an anticipated timeline but there is an expectation to award within three to four weeks from the bid opening.

102. Does the Judiciary prefer in-person, virtual, or hybrid training sessions?

A: Virtual.

103. Are training materials expected in editable format for future internal reuse?

A: Yes.

104. Does the Judiciary anticipate future integrations with case management systems or public-facing applications?

A: No.

105. Who is the incumbent vendor, and what is the current contract value and end date?

A: There is not an incumbent vendor.

106. What is the existing CMS platform, version, and are there any licensing constraints we should be aware of?

A: SharePoint 2023. No licensing constraint.

107. What is the approximate total number of pages, sub-sites, and sub-domains in scope for migration?

A: Pages:

Public site: Pages - 100+, Documents – 13,500+;

Intranet: Pages – 35+, Documents – 500+;

108. What third-party systems or APIs (e.g., SSO, payment, GIS, search, analytics) require integration post-migration?



STATE OF RHODE ISLAND

JUDICIAL PURCHASING OFFICE
670 NEW LONDON AVENUE
CRANSTON, RHODE ISLAND 02920
TEL: 401-275-6527 FAX:401-275-6530

A: All current features need to be migrated to the new sites.

109. Is there a target CMS platform preference (e.g., Drupal, WordPress, Sitecore, AEM), or is the vendor free to recommend?

A: Strictly Drupal or WordPress

110. Will key personnel and named resumes be evaluated, and are there minimum certification or clearance requirements?

A: No.

111. What is the place of performance — fully remote, onsite, or hybrid — and are there any U.S. citizenship or residency requirements?

A: Fully remote. We would prefer vendor to be U.S based

112. Is there an estimated budget range, ceiling, or pricing structure preference (FFP, T&M, or hybrid)?

A: The budget for this RFP will be determined based on the proposals received and available funding.

113. Will hosting, ATO, Section 508 compliance, and ongoing O&M be part of this scope or handled separately?

A: Hosting will be by AOSC IT. All other concerns will be handled separately

114. Are content migration, redesign, and accessibility remediation expected to be in-scope, and will the State provide content inventory/sitemaps?

A: Yes. All content migration, (no redesign required), and accessibility remediation are expected to be in scope. The site will be expected to look exactly like the existing one in design and functionality.