

**Supreme Court**

**In the Matter of Miguel R. Hernandez.**

**No. 2011-78-M.P.**

**ORDER**

On January 19, 2011, this Court's Disciplinary Counsel filed a petition pursuant to Article III, Rule 6(e) of the Supreme Court Rules of Disciplinary Procedure requesting that the court order the respondent, Miguel R. Hernandez, to file an answer to two disciplinary complaints. Counsel informed us that the respondent had failed to answer the complaints despite several requests that he do so.

On February 11, 2011 we entered an order reprimanding the respondent for his failure to answer those complaints, and directing him to file his answers within ten days. The order further provided that his failure to do so would result in his suspension from the practice of law. The respondent was served with that order on February 15, 2011. The respondent has failed to file his answers, and is in willful defiance of this Court's order.

Accordingly, it is ordered that the respondent, Miguel R. Hernandez, is suspended from engaging in the practice of law in this state, effective immediately, and until further order of this Court.

Entered as an Order of this Court this 8<sup>th</sup> Day of March, 2011

**By Order,**

/s/

---

**Clerk**



**RHODE ISLAND SUPREME COURT CLERK'S OFFICE**

*Clerk's Office Order/Opinion Cover Sheet*

---

**TITLE OF CASE:** In the Matter of Miguel R. Hernandez.

**CASE NO:** No. 2011-78-M.P.

**COURT:** Supreme Court

**DATE ORDER FILED:** March 8, 2011

**JUSTICES:** Suttell, C.J., Goldberg, Flaherty, Robinson, and Indeglia, JJ.

**WRITTEN BY:** N/A – Court Order

**JUDGE FROM LOWER COURT:**

N/A – Court Order

**ATTORNEYS ON APPEAL:**

For Petitioner: David D. Curtin, Esq.  
Disciplinary Counsel

For Defendant: Miguel R. Hernandez  
Pro Se