

Supreme Court

In the Matter of Arthur D. Parise:

No. 2002-375 M.P.

ORDER

The above-noted attorney was disbarred from the practice of law on July 20, 2002. On August 1, 2007, he filed a Petition for Reinstatement in accordance with Article III, Rule 16 of the Supreme Court Rules of Disciplinary Procedure. However, at the time of the filing of his petition he had not complied with all of the necessary procedural requirements for our consideration of that petition. He has now fulfilled those requirements.

Disciplinary Counsel has conducted an investigation, pursuant to Article III, Rule 5(b)(4), to determine whether there is any evidence that the petitioner does not presently possess the requisite moral fitness to resume the practice of law in this State. It is the recommendation of Disciplinary Counsel that the petition be granted, subject to the imposition of certain conditions of monitoring. After review of the report and recommendation of counsel, we deem that the petition should be granted.

Accordingly, the Petitioner, Arthur D. Parise, is hereby reinstated to the practice of law in this State, subject to the following conditions:

1. The petitioner's practice of law shall be monitored by Timothy Robenhymer, Esquire. Attorney Robenhymer shall submit monthly written reports to Disciplinary Counsel regarding his review of the petitioner's practice. The

petitioner shall fully cooperate with Attorney Robenhymer and Disciplinary Counsel regarding the monitoring of his practice.

2. The petitioner shall also submit the financial records of his law practice, including his client and business accounts, to Attorney Robenhymer for review on a monthly basis. Additionally, the petitioner shall also submit copies of his financial records to Disciplinary Counsel on a monthly basis.
3. The above-noted conditions shall remain in effect for two (2) years from the date of this Order.

Entered as an Order of this Court this 27th Day of March, 2008.

By Order,

/s/

Clerk